



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/955,548	09/17/2001	Akira Kibashi	JP920000200US1	9802
7590 03/31/2004			EXAMINER	
IBM Corporati	ion	PATEL, GAUTAM		
Intellectual Property Law 5600 Cottle Road (L2PA/0142)			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
San Jose, CA 95193			2655	-
			DATE MAILED: 03/31/2004	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
_	09/955,548	KIBASHI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Gautam R. Patel	2655
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a n y within the statutory minimum of thirt will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON b, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 S</u> This action is FINAL. 2b) This Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matt	-
Disposition of Claims		
 4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5)☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7)☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)☒ Claim(s) 1-14 are subject to restriction and/or example. 	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example.	epted or b) objected to l drawing(s) be held in abeyan tion is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. s have been received in A rity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date lformal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/955,548

Art Unit: 2655

#5

Election/Restriction

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- A. Claims 1-3 are drawn recording and playback device for editing of stored information, classified in Class 369, subclass 83.
- B. Claims 4-8 are drawn to an apparatus for storage in form of a disk, classified in Class 369, subclass 47.34.
- C. Claims 9-11 are drawn to a computer device with a host device handling modes and error, classified in Class 369, subclass 53.37.
- D. Claims 12-13 are drawn to a method of data processing with steps of saving data and writing data, classified in Class 369, subclass 47.34.
- E. Claim 14 is drawn to a program sending device, classified in Class 711, subclass 100 and/or class 710/1.

Inventions E and A are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process such as with sending programs on an internet and that does not require use of the recording and playback device at all.

Inventions E and B are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process such as with sending programs on an internet and that does not require particulars of a storage device especially of a disk at all.

Inventions E and C are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different

Application/Control Number: 09/955,548

Art Unit: 2655

process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process such as with sending programs on an internet and that does not require se of the recording and playback device at all.

Inventions E and D are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the apparatus does not require details of program sending device. The subcombination has separate utility such as modem.

Inventions A and B are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the apparatus does not require a details of storage device. The subcombination has separate utility such as with a storage device for computers and hard drives apparatus.

Inventions A and C are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the apparatus does not require a details of recording and playback device. The subcombination has separate utility such as with a recording and playback device that does not require to be placed in a computer device and can be used independently.

Inventions A and D are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process as claimed [data processing] can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus such as computers or internet devices.

Inventions B and C are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP §

Application/Control Number: 09/955,548 Page 4

Art Unit: 2655

806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the apparatus does not require a details of recording and playback device. The subcombination has separate utility such as with a storage device on a magnetic media which does not require particulars of a computer and can be used independently as buffers.

Inventions B and D are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process as claimed [data processing] can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus such as computers or internet devices and does not require particulars of a storage device.

Inventions C and D are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process as claimed [data processing method] can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus such as internet devices and does not require particulars of a computer device for its use.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

2. A telephone call was made to Mr. Ron Feece on March 29, 2004, to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

NOTE: Mr. Feece was to reached since phone was out of date and no new phone has been provided to PTO. PALM records also did not show any data on agent and/or attorney.

3. Applicant is reminded that **upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended** in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(h).

Application/Control Number: 09/955,548

Art Unit: 2655

4. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire **1 (one) months and 0 (zero) days** from the mail date of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will result in ABANDONMENT of the application (see 35 U.S.C. 133, M.P.E.P. 710.02, 710.02(b)).

Contact information

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gautam R. Patel whose telephone number is (703) 308-7940. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7:30 to 6.

The appropriate fax number for the organization (Group 2650) where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9314.

Ceffeld

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ms. Doris To can be reached on (703) 305-4827.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700 or the group Customer Service section whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Gautam R. Patel Primary Examiner Group Art Unit 2655

March 29, 2004